

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/524,326	03/13/2000	Martin Morris	WIDC-005/00US	7223	
23446 7	590 11/21/2005		EXAMINER		
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET			NGUYEN, HANH N		
SUITE 3400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, II	60661		2668		

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/524,	4,326 MORRIS, MARTIN		IN		
Office Action Summary		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Hanh N	guyen	2668			
5	The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on t	he cover sheet wit	th the correspondence a	ddress		
	or Reply						
WHIC - Exte afte - If NO - Fail Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commo Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum star ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply verely reply received by the Office later than three months affed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF 7 of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	FHIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a re will expire SIX (6) MONT pplication to become ABA	CATION. sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on Response file	d on 9/14/05.				
2a)⊠							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
-	closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte</i> (Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠							
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>3 and 7</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or electior	requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or	b) objected to I	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requ	uired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action or form F	PTO-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
·-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	een received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	een received in A	pplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	of the priority docur	ments have been	received in this Nationa	al Stage		
	application from the Internation	•					
*	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the ce	rtified copies not	received.			
			Angm	HANH PRIMARY	NGUYEN EXAMINER		
Attachmei	· ·			•			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date			
3) 🛛 Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or l er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/3/00,2/13/01</u> .		5) Notice of In 6) Other: IDS	formal Patent Application (PT 4/23/01:7/8/02, 5/7/0	ГО-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8-19 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Haartsen (Pat. 6,570, 857B1).

Referring to claims 1, 11, 14 and 17, Haartsen (Pat. 6,570, 857B1) discloses a method for communicating within a system including a master unit and one or more slave units (Abstract discloses a system having a wireless master unit and one or more slave unit), a first slave unit is assigned a member address (a temporary MAC address, col.4, lines 30-35. The temporary address may be a predefined temporary address that has never been assigned to any slave in the system, see col.3, lines 15-20) and a first extended address (unique identity 301, see Abstract, col.8, lines 47-53, fig.5) of a Bluetooth protocol (piconet) corresponding to a selected slot in a

cycle (the master pages slaves periodically in a slot basis, see col.9, lines 25-30, or pages in a fixed intervals (see col.3, lines 1-10 and abstract) and only the slave addressed in the previous master-to-slave slot is allowed to response, col.7, lines 12-17 and col.3, lines 1-10); transmitting information from said first slave unit to said master unit during said occurrence of said selected time slot (slave unit responses in slot 503, see col. 8, ln 47-55).

Referring to claims 2, 9, 12, 13, 15 and 16, Haartsen (Pat. 6,570, 857B1), as explained in the rejection of claim 1, further discloses assigning a second, a third slave units and a second extended address, a third extended address associated with a different occurrence (slave units response in appropriate half-slots) of the selected slot (see fig.6, col.8, lines 55-65 and col.4, lines 55-65)

Referring to Claim 4, Haartsen (6,570,857 B1) discloses the step of polling said first slave unit during one of said plurality of time slots immediately preceding said occurrence of said selected time slot (col.8, lns. 48-54).

Referring to Claim 5, Haartsen ('857B1) discloses the step of polling a second slave unit during one of said plurality of time slots immediately preceding said different occurrence of said selected time slot (col.8, lns. 48-54).

Referring to Claim 6, Haartsen discloses the method of claim 1 further including the step of synchronizing the master unit, said first slave unit and said second slave unit to the system clock (master unit and slave units are synchronized at connection setup, see col.6, lines 43-48), the first extended address and the second extended address conrresponding to first and second states of said system clock (fig.6, col.8, lns 52-65 discloses broadcast message 601to all slave units A,B,C, wherein the slave units response in appropripate half slot).

Referring to Claim 10, the limitation of this claim has been addressed in claims 1, 4.

In claim 18, Haartsen et al. (Pat. '857 B1) discloses time slots are assigned in a cycle to effect TDM protocol (see col.7, lines 7-15).

In claim 19, Haartsen et al. (pat.'857B1) discloses a particular slot is used by at least two slave unit in a cycle (col.4, lines 25-40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haartsen et al. (Pat. 6,570,857 B1) in view of Larson et al. (Pat. 6,751,200 B1).

In claim 20, Haartsen et al. (Pat. 6,570,857 B1) does not disclose the member address comprissing AM_ADDR address of bluetooth protocol. Larson et al. discloses a piconet network (fig.1 &2) wherein a master unit of the piconet assigns a local active member address (AM_ADDR) to each active member of the piconet, (col.1, line 52 to col.2, line 7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art to consider in Haartsen et al. the temporary MAC address to be the same as the local active member address (AM_ADDR) of Larson.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In claim 3, the prior art does not disclose the step of determining whether less than a maximum permitted number of the slave units have been assigned to the member address, said maximum permitted number of slave units being determined by performing a division operation in which a bandwidth associated with the member address is divided by a bandwidth allocated to the first slave unit, the maximum permitted number of slave units being no greater than a quotient of the division operation.

In claim 7, the prior art does not disclose the step of determining whether a bandwidth associated with extended addresses corresponding to the member address is no less than a desired bandwidth of the first slave unit.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 9/14/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 7 of the Remark, applicant agrues that Haartsen does not disclose the temporary address (a member address) corresponds to a selected time slot of a plurality of time slots that repeat in cycles, and an extend address assigned to the slave is not associated with the selected slot.

As described in Haartsen, slave units receive a broadcasted page packet at fixed intervals during a master-to-slave slot. The page packet includes a predefined temporary address (assignning a member address) assigned to one of the plurality slots. The slave unit that is addressed with the predefined temporary address transmits a response to master unit during a slave-to-master slot. The paging packet also includes a unique identifier of the desired slave. See col.3, lines 1-10 and col.8, lines 47-55.

It appears that the member address (predefined temporary address) is associated with a time slot (during a master-to-slave slot); and the broadcasted page packet occurs in fixed intervals (repeat in cycles).

On page 8 of the Remark, applicant argues that the time slot as disclosed in independent claim 1 and half slot disclosed in dependent claim 2 are not consistent. Examiner believes in an alternate embodiment of Haartsen, half-slot or subslots applications between master and slave is used, but the main invention of haartsen is applied to time slot basis (see col.55-65 and col.7, lines 10-20).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/524,326

Art Unit: 2668

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Page 7

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hanh Nguyen whose telephone number is 571 272 3092. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8AM to 5PM. The examiner can

also be reached on alternate

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chieh Fan, can be reached on 571 272 3042. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hanh Nguyen